

our leaders be led to keep their mouths shut when it hurts others.

□ 1930

SPECIAL ORDERS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 6, 2009, and under a previous order of the House, the following Members will be recognized for 5 minutes each.

REVISIONS TO ALLOCATION FOR HOUSE COMMITTEE ON APPROPRIATIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SPRATT) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. SPRATT. Madam Speaker, Under section 423(a)(1) of S. Con. Res. 13, the concurrent resolution on the budget for fiscal year 2010, I hereby submit an adjustment to the budget aggregates and allocations for the Committee on Appropriations for each of the

fiscal years 2009 and 2010. Section 423(a)(1) of S. Con. Res. 13 permits the chairman of the Committee on the Budget to adjust discretionary spending limits for overseas deployments and other activities when these activities are so designated. Such a designation is included both in the conference report to accompany H.R. 2346, a bill making supplemental appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 2009, and for other purposes, and in the bill H.R. 2847, Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2010.

DISCRETIONARY APPROPRIATIONS—APPROPRIATIONS COMMITTEE 302(A) ALLOCATION

(In millions of dollars)

	BA	OT
Current allocation:		
Fiscal Year 2009	1,391,471	1,220,843
Fiscal Year 2010	1,086,306	1,272,100
Changes for overseas deployment and other activities designations: H.R. 2346 (Supplemental Appropriations):		
Fiscal Year 2009	90,730	27,029
Fiscal Year 2010	11	34,239
H.R. 2487 (Appropriations for Commerce, Justice, Science, and Related Agencies):		
Fiscal Year 2009	0	0
Fiscal Year 2010	101	81
Revised allocation:		
Fiscal Year 2009	1,482,201	1,247,872
Fiscal Year 2010	1,086,418	1,306,420

BUDGET AGGREGATES

(On-budget amounts, in millions of dollars)

	Fiscal year 2009	Fiscal year 2010	Fiscal year 2010–2014
Current Aggregates: ¹			
Budget Authority	3,668,777	2,882,107	n.a.
Outlays	3,354,482	2,998,218	n.a.
Revenues	1,532,571	1,653,682	10,499,809
Change for H.R. 2346 overseas deployment and other activities designation: ²			
Budget Authority	0	0	n.a.
Outlays	2,882	829	n.a.
Revenues	0	0	0
Revised Aggregates:			
Budget Authority	3,668,777	2,882,107	n.a.
Outlays	3,357,364	2,999,047	n.a.
Revenues	1,532,571	1,653,682	10,499,809

n.a. = Not applicable because annual appropriations Acts for fiscal years 2011 through 2014 will not be considered until future sessions of Congress.

¹ Current aggregates do not include the disaster allowance assumed in the budget resolution, which if needed will be excluded from current level with an emergency designation (section 423(b)).

² Budget authority in the amount of the adjustment is already included in the resolution aggregates. The adjustment represents the difference in spendout rates between what was assumed in the budget resolution and the actual supplemental. Adjustments for outlays from 2010 designated budget authority will be made when needed.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Texas (Mr. POE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Mr. POE of Texas addressed the House. His remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

HONORING DR. BOB FREDERICK

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Kansas (Mr. MORAN) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. MORAN of Kansas. Madam Speaker, I rise this evening to announce the sad passing of Dr. Bob Frederick, a distinguished Kansan and exceptional human being.

Dr. Frederick served as athletic director at the University of Kansas for 14 years, the second longest tenure in the school history, and a period during which the Jayhawk athletics achieved 32 conference championships and generated 41 Academic All-Americans, the latter of which I'm sure meant the most to Dr. Frederick, as that is the kind of person he was.

Dr. Frederick felt most concerned about the well-being of student athletes. First as a coach and later as an athletic director, he showed that con-

cern as he personally took an interest in the students at Kansas University that were also engaged in the intense dedication that it takes to be a college athlete today.

While very competitive by nature, he was as gracious in defeat and as much a class act as any athletic director there ever was. For Dr. Frederick, the bottom line was not about winning but, rather, about improving and advancing the lives of young men and women.

Dr. Frederick loved Kansas. As part of the university for 35 years, beginning with his bachelor's and master's degrees and walking onto the basketball team to his years of serving as assistant basketball coach, athletic director, and finally as administrator and assistant professor, it is clear Dr. Frederick and Kansans were meant for each other.

Dr. Frederick is perhaps best known for his bold hire of then-unknown assistant basketball coach Roy Williams in 1989. Williams, who would achieve the winningest decade of any first-time head coach in NCAA history, said of Dr. Frederick, "He is the finest gentleman I've ever known in my life."

A basketball coach himself at Russell and Lawrence High Schools, as well as Coffeyville Community College in Kan-

sas, Dr. Frederick was cherished on all sides of our great State. I wish to offer this tribute to an outstanding gentleman, Dr. Bob Frederick, and express my sincere condolences to his wife, Margey, and his four sons. My thoughts and prayers are with his family.

CONGRATULATIONS TO THE NEW-EST STANLEY CUP CHAMPIONS: THE PITTSBURGH PENGUINS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Pennsylvania (Mr. ALTMIRE) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. ALTMIRE. Madam Speaker, to borrow a catchphrase from Hall of Fame hockey announcer Mike Lange, "You can buy Sam a drink and get his dog one, too, because Lord Stanley's Cup is making a return visit to the City of Champions." Pittsburgh now becomes the first city to ever be home to both the defending Super Bowl and Stanley Cup champions at the same time.

This past Friday, the Pittsburgh Penguins won their third Stanley Cup, and they did it the hard way, by coming from behind on the road in game 7 of the finals against last year's champion Detroit Red Wings.

Regular season scoring champion Evgeni Malkin won MVP honors by leading all playoff scorers, and goalie Marc-Andre Fleury once again proved he belonged among the game's elites with his dominating performance in net.

Head Coach Dan Bylsma took over in midseason when the team was out of playoff contention and led them not only to the playoffs but to a championship. And in winning the title, Sidney Crosby became the youngest team captain to hoist the Stanley Cup in the 115-year history of the trophy.

As in all successful organizations, the leadership of the Penguins starts at the top. The incomparable Mario Lemieux will now have his name engraved on the Cup for the third time, this time as team owner. Just as he did when he came into the league as a player, Mario Lemieux took control of a franchise threatened by bankruptcy and relocation. But from that, he built a championship team that leads the league in television ratings, merchandise sales, and sellouts.

Yes, Madam Speaker, these Penguins can fly. Congratulations go out to the entire organization for completing the hat trick of champions, winning their third straight Stanley Cup. The 2009 Pittsburgh Penguins have earned their well-deserved place alongside the greatest sports legends in the City of Champions.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from California (Ms. WOOLSEY) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. WOOLSEY addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

REDESIGNATING THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY AS THE DEPARTMENT OF THE NAVY & MARINE CORPS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from North Carolina (Mr. JONES) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. JONES. Madam Speaker, I am pleased to announce that 290 of my colleagues in the House from both parties have joined me as colleagues of cosponsors of H.R. 24—legislation to redesignate the Department of the Navy as the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps. I'm grateful for the widespread support for this change among my House colleagues, and I also thank Chairman IKE SKELTON who will include the language of H.R. 24 in the National Defense Authorization Act markup tomorrow.

For the past 7 years, the language of this bill has been part of the House version of the National Defense Authorization Act. Each year, the full House of Representatives has supported this change. This year, I'm also grateful to have the support of Senator PAT ROBERTS, a former marine, who intro-

duced the same bill in the Senate, S. 504. With his help, I'm hopeful that this will be the year that the Senate supports the House position and joins in bringing proper respect to the fighting team of the Navy and Marine Corps.

Over the course of the Marine Corps history, including their present-day service in Afghanistan and Iraq, those three words, "and Marine Corps," have been earned through blood and sacrifice. The Navy and Marine Corps have operated as one entity for more than two centuries, and H.R. 24 would allow the name of this department to illustrate that fight.

This legislation is not about changing the responsibilities of the Secretary of the Navy Department, reallocating resources, or altering missions. This change is all about respect and gratitude to the Marine Corps. As symbolic as this change might be, the Marine Corps has earned the right to be recognized in the Department's name. Over the past several years, this change has received support from three former Navy Secretaries, the Marine Corps League, Veterans of Foreign Wars, the Fleet Reserve Association, and many other individuals and groups.

In 2004, at a hearing before the House Armed Services Committee, Navy Admiral Stansfield Turner described his support for this change, and he said, "I think this change in title enhances the prestige and pride of the people in the Marine Corps, and it does not necessarily take anything away from the Navy in that process. I am particularly impressed today . . . [by] the degree of cooperation between the armed services of our country."

And Admiral Turner further stated, "Emphasizing that this is a Navy-Marine Corps team is a very important part of keeping that kind of sight on the objective of teamwork in combat."

Madam Speaker, the marines who are fighting today deserve this recognition.

In closing, I would like to show the change.

Madam Speaker, on this first poster was actually a letter that was sent by the Secretary of the Navy to a Marine wife who was killed. I certainly have taken out the name of the Marine Corps's wife's name, and I want to read this part to you and to those on the floor: On behalf of the Department of the Navy, please accept my very sincere condolences in the loss of your husband, Captain so-and-so, Marine.

Madam Speaker, the important part of reading this is this. If this bill becomes law, this fighting team will recognize this Marine family in this way: The Secretary of the Navy and Marine Corps. Dear Marine Corps family, On behalf of the Department of the Navy and Marine Corps. Madam Speaker, that's all that it does, but it's very important that the Marine Corps receive this recognition.

And before closing, Madam Speaker, as I do frequently, I ask God to please bless our many men and women in uniform, I ask God to bless the families of

our men and women in uniform, and I ask God in his loving arms to hold the families who have given a child dying for freedom in Afghanistan and Iraq. And, Madam Speaker, I ask three times, God, please, God, please, God, please continue to bless America.

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Ohio (Ms. KAPTUR) is recognized for 5 minutes.

(Ms. KAPTUR addressed the House. Her remarks will appear hereafter in the Extensions of Remarks.)

UNFAIR TREATMENT OF CAR DEALERSHIPS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under a previous order of the House, the gentleman from Indiana (Mr. BURTON) is recognized for 5 minutes.

Mr. BURTON of Indiana. Madam Speaker, this last weekend, I met with several auto dealers in my district, and it was very interesting to find out really what all of them are going through right now.

The Chrysler and General Motors companies are closing hundreds and hundreds of automobile dealerships across the country, and after talking to these dealers, I can't figure out why. It isn't costing the automobile companies anything. They sell the cars to the dealers and the dealers sell those to the consumer. And the dealers pay for those cars.

In addition, the dealers pay for the advertising, the dealers pay for the plant and equipment, their dealerships, the buildings. They pay the mechanics. They pay the sales people. So the car company, all they do is make the car and sell it to the dealer. And so why are they closing all of these dealerships? It seems to me, as you reduce your sales force across the country, you're going to reduce the amount of cars that are sold to the consumer. It just doesn't make any sense to me.

But let me tell you some of the things that these dealers are going through right now. One dealer told me that—it's a Chrysler dealer—he had a Dodge dealership. And Chrysler asked him a couple of years ago to buy another dealership that wasn't doing as well, and they asked him to not only buy the property but to upgrade the equipment and upgrade the property and upgrade the showroom.

So he put \$3 million into buying a property and upgrading the showroom in the mechanics area, the garage area. And after he did it, just recently, right after he got it done, had invested \$3 million, they closed him down. They closed him down. He lost \$3 million after they asked him, the company asked him, to invest that money in purchasing and upgrading this other store.

Now, that's terrible. He put \$3 million in it as the company requested, and then they cut the legs off from under him and he loses \$3 million.